

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS) PERFORMANCE

QUARTERLY REPORT 4 (OCTOBER TO DECEMBER 2023)

Prepared by:

Lee Banfield

Approved by:

M Pattinson

Authorized by:

M Pattinson

Code: Version: Date: Internal code: GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23 1.0 23/01/24 GMV 20678/24 V1/24



Code:	GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23
Date:	23/01/24
Version:	1.0
Page:	2 of 32

DOCUMENT STATUS SHEET

Version	Date	Pages	Changes
1.0	23/01/2024	32	First version delivered to OSi



 Code:
 GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23

 Date:
 23/01/24

 Version:
 1.0

 Page:
 3 of 32

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTE	RODUCTI	ON	5
	1.1.	PURPOS	ε	5
	1.2.	DOCUM	ENT OVERVIEW	5
	1.3.	REFERE	NCES	5
		1.3.1.	Applicable Documents	5
		1.3.2.	Reference Documents	6
	1.4.	ACRONY	(MS	6
2.	INTE	RODUCTI	ON	7
	2.1.	PURPOS	SE	7
	2.2.	PERFOR	MANCE SPECIFICATION AND DEFINITIONS	7
	2.3.	METHO	DOLOGY	
	2.4.	ASSUMF	PTIONS	
3.	SPS	PERFOR	MANCE	
	3.1.	BASELI	NE 24-SLOT CONSTELLATION	
	3.2.	SPS SIS	SACCURACY	
	3.3.	SPS SIS	S INTEGRITY	
	3.4.	SPS SIS	S CONTINUITY	21
	3.5.	SPS SIS	S AVAILABILITY	21
	3.6.	PDOP A	VAILABILITY	22
	3.7.	POSITIC	ON SERVICE AVAILABILITY	23
	3.8.	POSITIC	DNING ACCURACY	
4.	NAN	U ANALY	′SIS	
5.	CON	ICLUSION	۱S	



LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1-1 Applicable Documents	5
Table 1-2 Reference Documents	6
Table 1-3 Acronyms	6
Table 2-1: SPS Criteria and Specifications	9
Table 3-1: Baseline constellation in the Period 1 October to 31 December 2023	.15
Table 3-2: Range Error Statistics for Reporting Period	.17
Table 3-3: Summary of Apparent SIS Errors	.19
Table 4-1: Summary of Forecast Scheduled Outages	.27
Table 4-2: Summary of Actual Scheduled Outages	.27
Table 4-3: Summary of Cancelled Outages	27
Table 4-4: Summary of Forecast and Actual Unscheduled Outages	27
Table 4-5: Summary of NANU Statistics for Monitoring Period	.28
Table 5-1: Summary of Performance	.29

Figure 2-1: Location of Performance Monitoring Stations	11
Figure 3-1: Constellation URE (95%) for Reporting Period	16
Figure 3-2: Constellation RMS URE for Reporting Period	16
Figure 3-3: Constellation URE (95%) for Reporting Period (dual-frequency measurements)	18
Figure 3-4: Constellation RMS URE for Reporting Period (dual frequency measurements)	18
Figure 3-5: Daily PDOP Availability in the Reporting Period	22
Figure 3-6: Daily Maximum PDOP Value in the Reporting Period	23
Figure 3-7: Daily Horizontal Service Availability Values for Reporting Period	24
Figure 3-8: Daily Vertical Service Availability Values for Reporting Period	24
Figure 3-9: Daily Horizontal Position Accuracy (95%) for Reporting Period	25
Figure 3-10: Daily Vertical Position Accuracy (95%) for Reporting Period	25
Figure 3-11: Daily Horizontal Position Accuracy (99.99%) for Reporting Period	26
Figure 3-12: Daily Vertical Position Accuracy (99.99%) for Reporting Period	26



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. PURPOSE

This document presents the results of the GPS SPS performance assessment for the period of October to December 2023. The objectives of the study are to compare the measured performance against US DoD SPS performance specification [RD.1], covering the following parameters [AD.1]:

- SPS SiS Accuracy,
- SPS SiS Integrity,
- SPS SiS Continuity,
- SPS SiS Availability,
- PDOP Availability,
- SPS Position Service Availability and
- SPS Position Service Accuracy.

It also includes NANU analysis. The performance is analysed using raw data recorded at the OSi site SLGO.

1.2. DOCUMENT OVERVIEW

This document is arranged in the following sections:

- Section 1, the current section, describes the purpose, scope and structure of the document and lists the reference documents.
- Section 2 gives an introduction to the activity, including performance specification and assessment methodology and assumptions;
- Section 3 contains an assessment of performance against GPS SPS performance standards;
- Section 4 provides an analysis of the NANUs;
- Section 5 contains the conclusions.

1.3. REFERENCES

1.3.1. APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

The following documents, of the exact issue shown, form part of this document to the extent specified herein. Applicable documents are those referenced in the Contract or approved by the Approval Authority. They are referenced in this document in the form [AD.x]:

Table 1-1 Applicable Documents

Ref.	Title	Code	Version	Date
[AD.1]	Agreement relating to the provision of services pursuant to request for tenders for the provision of GNSS monitoring services	-	-	25/06/19
[AD.2]	2023-24 GNSS Monitoring Services – Contract Extension	-	-	02/02/23
[AD.3]				
[AD.4]				



GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23
23/01/24
1.0
6 of 32

1.3.2. REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The following documents, although not part of this document, amplify or clarify its contents. Reference documents are those not applicable and referenced within this document. They are referenced in this document in the form [RD.x]:

Ref.	Title	Code	Version	Date
[RD.1]	Global Positioning System Standard Positioning Service Performance Standard	GPS SPS	5 th Edition	Apr 2020
[RD.2]	Global Positioning System (GPS) Civil Monitoring Performance Specification	DOT-VNTSC-FAA-09-08	-	April 30 th 2009
[RD.3]	Reference Set of Parameters for RAIM Availability Simulations', EUROCAE WG-62	-	-	8-9 July 2003

Table 1-2 Reference Documents

1.4. ACRONYMS

Acronyms used in this document and needing a definition are included in the following table:

Acronym	Definition
AOD	Age Of Data
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GPS	Global Positioning System
HDOP	Horizontal Dilution Of Precision
IAA	Irish Aviation Authority
IGS	International GNSS Service
NANU	Notice Advisory to Navstar Users
NOTAM	Notice To Airmen
PDOP	Position Dilution Of Precision
RAIM	Receiver Autonomous Integrity Monitoring
SIS	Signal In Space
SPS	Standard Positioning Service
TTA	Time To Alarm
UERE	User Equivalent Range Error
URA	User Range Accuracy
URE	User Range Error
VDOP	Vertical Dilution Of Precision

Table 1-3 Acronyms



2. INTRODUCTION

2.1. PURPOSE

The purpose of the performance monitoring activity is to collect and analyse data on the performance of the GPS Signal in Space (SIS) [AD.1]. For this report, the applicable requirements are defined in the Global Positioning System Standard Positioning Service Performance Standard (GPS SPS PS), approved by the US Department of Defence [RD.1].

2.2. PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION AND DEFINITIONS

The applicable performance specifications for the Standard Positioning Service [RD. 1] are as follows, with changes to the previous version of the GPS performance spec (prior to April 2020) noted:

Criteria	Specifications
	The User Range Error (URE) for any healthy satellite for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:
	 ≤7.0 m 95% Global Average URE during Normal Operations over all age of data (AODs) [previous value was 7.8m]
	 ≤3.8m 95% Global Average URE during Normal Operations at Zero AOD [previous value was 6.0m]
	 ≤9.7 m 95% Global Average URE during Normal Operations at Any AOD [previous value was 12.8m]
	 ≤30 m 99.94% Global Average URE during Normal Operations over one-year period
	 ≤30 m 99.79% Worst Case Single Point Average URE during Normal Operations over one-year period
	 ≤388 m 95% Global Average URE during Extended Operations after 14 Days without Upload.
Accuracy	The User Range Error (URE) for all healthy satellites for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:
	 ≤2.0 m 95% Global Average URE during Normal Operations over all age of data (AODs) [New specification – did not appear previously]
	The User Range Rate Error (URRE) for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:
	≤0.006 m/sec 95% Global Average URRE over any 3-second interval during Normal Operations at Any AOD
	The User Range Acceleration Error (URAE) for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:
	\leq 0.002 m/sec/sec 95% Global Average URAE over any 3-second interval during Normal Operations at Any AOD
	The UTC Offset Error for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:
	≤30 nsec 95% Global Average UTCOE during Normal Operations at Any AOD [previous value was 40nsec]
SPS SIS Integrity	The SIS Instantaneous URE Integrity for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:



Code:

Date:

Page:

Version:

Criteria	Specifications
	• ≤1×10 ⁻⁵ Probability Over Any Hour of the SPS SIS Instantaneous URE Exceeding the NTE Tolerance Without a Timely Alert during Normal Operations
	The SIS Instantaneous UTCOE Integrity for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:
	• ≤1x10 ⁻⁵ Probability Over Any Hour of the SPS SIS Instantaneous UTCOE Exceeding the NTE Tolerance Without a Timely Alert during Normal Operations
	The SIS Instantaneous Psat and Pconst for Single-Frequency C/A-Code:
	 ≤1x10⁻⁵ Fraction of Time when the SPS SIS Instantaneous URE Exceeds the NTE Tolerance Without a Timely Alert (Psat) [New specification – did not appear previously]
	 ≤1x10⁻⁸ Fraction of Time when the SPS SIS Instantaneous URE from two or more satellites Exceeds the NTE Tolerance due to a common cause Without a Timely Alert (Pconst) [New specification – did not appear previously]
	SPS SIS Unscheduled Failure Interruption Continuity
SPS SIS Continuity	• ≥ 0.9998 Probability Over Any Hour of Not Losing the SPS SIS Availability from a Slot Due to Unscheduled Interruption
	• Given that the SPS SIS is available from the slot at the start of the hour
	Scheduled Event Affecting Service
Status and Problem reporting	 Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA at least 48 hours prior to the event for 95% of the events [previously did not specify a %]
	SPS SIS Per-Slot Availability
	• ≥ 0.957 Probability that a Slot in the Baseline 24-Slot Configuration will be Occupied by a Satellite Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS
	• ≥ 0.957 Probability that a Slot in the Expanded Configuration will be Occupied by a Pair of Satellites Each Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS
	SPS SIS Constellation Availability
SPS SIS Availability	• ≥ 0.98 Probability that at least 21 Slots out of the 24 Slots will be Occupied Either by a Satellite Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Baseline 24-Slot Configuration or by a Pair of Satellites Each Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Expanded Slot Configuration
	 ≥ 0.99999 Probability that at least 20 Slots out of the 24 Slots will be occupied either by a Satellite Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Baseline 24-Slot Configuration or by a Pair of Satellites Each Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Expanded Slot Configuration.
	 ≥ 0.95 Probability that the Constellation will have at least 24 Operational Satellites regardless of Whether Those Operational Satellites are Located in Slots or Not.
PDOP	• \geq 98% global Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP) of 6 or less
Availability	• \geq 88% worst site PDOP of 6 or less
SPS Position	• ≥ 99% Horizontal Service Availability average location
Service Availability	• ≥ 90%Horizontal Service Availability worst-case location



Criteria	Specifications
	• ≥ 99% Vertical Service Availability average location
	• ≥ 90% Vertical Service Availability worst-case location
	With 15 m horizontal and 33 m vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold over 24hours [previous values were 17m and 37m]
	• ≤ 8 meters 95% Global Average Horizontal Error [previous value was 9m]
	• ≤ 15 meters 95% worst site Horizontal Error [previous value was 17m]
	• ≤ 13 meters 95% Global Average Vertical Error [previous value was 15m]
Positionina	• ≤ 33 meters 95% worst site Vertical Error [previous value was 37m]
Accuracy	Global Average Velocity Accuracy
	 ≤ 0.2 m/sec 95% velocity error, any axis [New specification – did not appear previously]
	 ≤ 30 nanoseconds time transfer error 95% of time for Time Transfer Domain Accuracy [previous value was 40nsec]

Table 2-1: SPS Criteria and Specifications

The definitions for each of the criteria and the methodology used for assessment are given below. As well as the GPS SPS [RD.1], the GPS civil monitoring performance specification [RD.2] has also been used to help define the methodology for the assessment.

SPS SIS Accuracy

The SPS SIS accuracy is described in two statistical ways; one way is as the 95th percentile (95%) SPS SIS user range error (URE) at a specified age of data (AOD), the other is as the 95% SPS SIS URE over all AODs. With either statistical expression, the SPS SIS accuracy is also known as the SPS SIS pseudorange accuracy. In this context, "pseudorange" means the full pseudorange data set (i.e., the matched combination of a corrected pseudorange measurement and a pseudorange origin, or equivalently the matched combination of a raw pseudorange measurement and the associated NAV data).

Other accuracy-related SPS SIS performance parameters include the SPS SIS pseudorange rate (velocity) accuracy defined as the 95% SPS SIS pseudorange rate error over all AODs and the SPS SIS pseudorange acceleration (rate rate) accuracy defined as the 95% SPS SIS pseudorange acceleration error over all AODs. These values are not monitored as part of this performance monitoring contract.

SPS SIS Integrity

The SPS SIS integrity is defined as the trust which can be placed in the correctness of the information provided by the SPS SIS. SPS SIS integrity includes the ability of the SPS SIS to provide timely alerts to receivers when the SPS SIS should not be used for positioning or timing. The SPS SIS should not be used when it is providing misleading signal-in-space information (MSI), where the threshold for "misleading" is a not-to-exceed (NTE) tolerance on the SIS URE. For this SPS PS, the four components of integrity are the probability of a major service failure, the time to alert, the SIS URE NTE tolerance, and the alert (either one or the other of two types of alerts).

Probability of a Major Service Failure. The probability of a major service failure for the SPS SIS is defined to be the probability that the SPS SIS instantaneous URE exceeds the SIS URE NTE tolerance (i.e., MSI) without a timely alert being issued (i.e., unalerted MSI [UMSI]). Alerts generically include both alarms and warnings.



GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23	Code:
23/01/24	Date:
1.0	Version:
10 of 32	Page:

- Time to Alert. The time to alert (TTA) for the SPS SIS is defined to be the time from the onset of MSI until an alert (alarm or warning) indication arrives at the receiver's antenna. Real-time alert information broadcast as part of the NAV message data is defined to arrive at the receiver's antenna at the end of the NAV message subframe which contains that particular piece of real-time alert information.
- SIS URE NTE Tolerance. The SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance for a healthy SPS SIS is defined to be 4.42 times the upper bound on the URA value corresponding to the URA index "N" currently broadcast by the satellite. The SIS URE NTE tolerance for a marginal SPS SIS is not defined and there is no SIS URE NTE tolerance for an unhealthy SPS SIS.

SPS SIS Continuity

The SPS SIS continuity for a healthy SPS SIS is the probability that the SPS SIS will continue to be healthy without unscheduled interruption over a specified time interval. Scheduled interruptions which are announced at least 48 hours in advance do not contribute to a loss of continuity. Scheduled SPS SIS interruptions are announced by way of the Control Segment issuing a "Notice Advisory to Navstar Users" (NANU). NANUs are similar to the "Notices to Airmen" (NOTAMs) issued regarding scheduled interruptions of ground-based air navigation aids. OCS internal procedures are to issue NANUs for scheduled interruptions at least 96 hours in advance.

SPS SIS Availability

The SPS SIS availability is the probability that the slots in the GPS constellation will be occupied by satellites transmitting a trackable and healthy SPS SIS. For this SPS Performance Standard, there are two components of availability as follows:

- Per-Slot Availability. The fraction of time that a slot in the GPS constellation will be occupied by a satellite that is transmitting a trackable and healthy SPS SIS.
- Constellation Availability. The fraction of time that a specified number of slots in the GPS constellation

PDOP Availability

PDOP availability is defined as the percentage of time over a specified time interval that the predicted PDOP is less than a specified value for any point within the service volume [RD.1].

Position Service Availability

Position service availability is defined as the percentage of time over a specified time interval that the position accuracy is less than a specified value for any point within the service volume [RD.1].

Positioning Service Accuracy

Position service accuracy is defined as the statistical difference between position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over a specified time interval [RD.1].

2.3. METHODOLOGY

For the performance analysis in this report, raw GPS measurement data from reference stations has been analysed. The primary source of data is the OSi network of active stations in Ireland. OSi operates a national network of GNSS receiver stations. The network consists of 25 receivers that provide 24-hour availability of dual frequency GPS and GLONASS data. For the purposes of this performance monitoring activity, OSi provides raw data for 2 of these sites to GMV NSL for processing and analysis. The sites that are used are Mullingar (MLG1) and Sligo Hospital (SLGO). The locations of these sites are shown in Figure 2-1.



1.0

Code:

Date:

Page:

Version:



Figure 2-1: Location of Performance Monitoring Stations

In this report, SLGO is used as the main site to provide performance monitoring across the whole of Irish airspace and MLG1 is a back-up in case of problems with SLGO and to allow cross-checking of performance in the case of anomaly investigations. These sites have been chosen to fulfil the following criteria:

- Centrally located within Ireland in order to ensure monitoring of complete airspace;
- Good data availability and continuity (i.e. avoid sites with historically poor data availability);
- Good measurement quality (i.e. avoid sites with known interference, multipath or sky visibility issues);
- Availability of required observation types and data.

In addition to the raw data, NANU information is downloaded from the US Coast Guard Navigation Centre website (<u>http://www.navcen.uscg.gov/?pageName=gpsNanuInfo</u>). This provides information on the NANUs for scheduled and unscheduled outages during the monitoring period.

The methods for assessing of each of the requirements are described below.

SPS SIS Accuracy

SIS accuracy is assessed through processing and analysis of the raw measurement data. In order to compute the SIS accuracy, the measurements recorded at the GPS receiver are used to compute the instantaneous SIS errors. This is done by computing the difference between computed ranges (based on known receiver location and satellite position) and the corrected measurement, which has satellite and receiver clock biases, group delay, ionospheric and tropospheric errors removed. Once the SIS range errors for every satellite measurement on every epoch have been computed, the per-satellite and all satellite statistics across the whole period, as well as daily statistics for all satellites combined, are generated.



SPS SIS Integrity

SIS accuracy is assessed through processing and analysis of the raw measurement data. The SIS integrity is assessed by comparing each instantaneous computed SIS error value with a threshold value of 4.42 x broadcast URA. The number of occasions where the instantaneous URE exceeds the threshold are counted and checked against the expected number of failures.

SPS SIS Continuity

SIS continuity is assessed through analysis of the broadcast navigation messages and the NANU archive. Firstly, the daily broadcast navigation messages are scanned in order to find the time periods for any satellites that do not have healthy navigation messages. These satellites and time periods are then matched against NANU information to see if the outages are scheduled or unscheduled.

The SIS continuity is computed for the baseline 24-slot constellation and is an average value over all slots. The total time that any satellites in the baseline constellation were unhealthy due to an unscheduled outage is divided by the total time in the analysis period and expressed as a percentage. Results are presented for the reporting period and, when available, for the previous year.

SPS SIS Availability

SIS availability is assessed through analysis of the broadcast navigation messages and the NANU archive. Firstly, the daily broadcast navigation messages are scanned in order to find the time periods for any satellites that do not have healthy navigation messages. These satellites and time periods are then matched against NANU information to see if the outages are scheduled or unscheduled.

The SIS availability is computed for the baseline 24-slot constellation as well as for the whole constellation and is an average value over all slots. At each epoch the number of healthy satellites (both in the baseline 24-slot constellation and in total) is counted. Then the following parameters are computed:

- Total time that there are less than 21 healthy satellites in the baseline constellation;
- Total time that there are less than 20 healthy satellites in the baseline constellation;
- Total time that there are less than 24 healthy satellites in the whole constellation.

These parameters are then divided by total time of the analysis and expressed as percentage values. Results are presented for the reporting period and, when available, for the previous year.

It should be noted that in case the baseline 24-slot constellation does not meet requirements, the analysis will be expanded to include pairs of satellites in the expanded slot constellation.

PDOP Availability

PDOP availability is assessed through processing and analysis of the raw measurement data. The PDOP availability is assessed by computing the PDOP for all satellites in view above 5 degrees at the GPS receiver at every epoch (1Hz rate). Each PDOP value is checked against the threshold value of 6 and any failures are counted. The numbers of failures on each day are then used to generate the daily availability value. A separate availability value for each day is computed.

Position Service Availability

Position service availability is assessed through processing and analysis of the raw measurement data. The derivation of the position service availability requirements of 15m (95% horizontal accuracy) and 33m (95% vertical accuracy) for 99% of the time are explained a bit more in section B.3.2 of the GPS SPS [RD.1]. The requirement is based on fulfilling a 1-sigma UERE of 3.6m, HDOP of 2.1 and VDOP of 4.53. To check this requirement, the following approach is used:

 For each day, compute daily rms SIS error for all satellites combined. This is equivalent to the 1sigma UERE in the description above;



- On each epoch, multiply daily rms SIS error by HDOP value to compute estimated horizontal accuracy due to SIS error;
- For each epoch, multiply daily rms SIS error by VDOP value to compute estimated vertical accuracy due to SIS error;
- Compute daily availability (%) of estimated horizontal accuracy < 7.5m (1-sigma);
- Compute daily availability (%) of estimated vertical accuracy < 16.5m (1-sigma).
- If daily availability of horizontal accuracy greater than the required threshold, the requirement for horizontal service accuracy is passed;
- If daily availability of vertical accuracy greater than the required threshold, the requirement for vertical service accuracy is passed.

Positioning Service Accuracy

In order to check the position service accuracy, the raw measurements recorded at the GPS receiver are used to compute a user position solution on every epoch (1Hz). The computed positions are then compared against the known position of the receiver in order to generate horizontal and vertical position errors. Statistics for 95% error value, 99.99% error value etc. are then computed separately for each day and checked against the thresholds.

2.4. ASSUMPTIONS

For processing the raw data and generating the results the following assumptions are made:

- Single frequency (L1) processing with C/A code;
- 5-degree elevation mask used;
- Broadcast iono model (Klobuchar) used to remove ionospheric errors;
- RTCA trop model used to remove tropospheric errors;
- Weighted least squares RAIM algorithm used for RAIM prediction (protection level computation) and Fault Detection;
- Probability of missed detection = 0.001 and Probability of false alarm = 1x10-5 for RAIM computations;
- UERE budget (non-SIS components) used in position solution and for RAIM predictions based given below [RD.3]:

Elevation, degrees	Error, metres
5	7.48
10	6.64
15	5.92
20	5.31
30	4.31
40	3.57
50	3.06
60	2.73
90	2.44

The URA value from the broadcast navigation message is combined with the values in the table to form the total UERE for the observations.

As the actual monitoring is based on the measurements from one receiver, the following points should be noted:



GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23	Code:
23/01/24	Date:
1.0	Version:
14 of 32	Page:

- Performance monitoring is local to the monitoring station with a coverage area defined by the correlation of the major error sources and the configuration of the constellation.
- The range domain errors contain the residuals of other error sources other than the SIS range errors, hence the performance statistics generated are conservative.



3. SPS PERFORMANCE

3.1. BASELINE 24-SLOT CONSTELLATION

The SPS SIS performance standard is largely based on the GPS baseline 24-slot constellation, which consists of 24 slots in six orbital planes with four slots per plane. Some of these slots are expanded, whereby two satellites occupy fore and aft positions at that slot, in which case the slot is occupied as long as at least one of the expanded slots is occupied by an operational satellite. It is important to identify the baseline constellation (and expanded slots) to act as reference to subsequent data processing and analysis. The following tables show the satellite PRN in each slot for the baseline constellation for the period October to December 2023¹.

Slot	A1	A2A/A2F	A3	A4	B1A/B1F	B2	B3	B4	C1	C2	C3	C4A/C4F
PRN	24	31/28	30	7	16/26	25	14	12	29	27	8	19/17
Slot	D1	D2A/D2F	D3	D4	E1	E2	E3A/E3F	E4	F1	F2A/F2F	F3	F4
PRN	11	1/21	18	6	3	10	5/20	23	32	15/13	9	4

Table 3-1: Baseline constellation in the Period 1 October to 31 December 202	1: Baseline constellation in the Period 1 Octo	ober to 31 December 202
--	--	-------------------------

It is noted that during this period, SVN63 (PRN1) from slot D2A had an issue on 10th July and was set unhealthy, and then was decommissioned on 10th August. Another satellite (SVN44) was re-activated to broadcast PRN22 from 18th August but there is no information available on the plane and slot in which that satellite is located.

3.2. SPS SIS ACCURACY

In addition to the specifications in Table 2-1, the Conditions and Constraints for SPS SIS URE Accuracy specification [RD.1] are:

- For any healthy SPS SIS
- Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors
- Including group delay time correction (TGD) errors at L1
- Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1

The statistics presented here are based on the same sample rate for positioning (1Hz). It should be noted that the computed range errors (in addition to SIS errors) contain residual errors local to the monitoring antenna (multipath, tropospheric and ionospheric). The URE Accuracy (95th percentile) values of each satellite for the period October to December 2023 are shown in the next figure.

¹ The information on slots is taken from the figure at <u>https://www.navcen.uscg.gov/pdf/gps/current.pdf</u>. It is noted that there is some inconsistency between this figure and the slot numbers in the ops advisory messages. The figure was last updated on 1st March 2023 and before that on 1st June 2022.



Figure 3-1: Constellation URE (95%) for Reporting Period

It can be seen that the URE (95%) for all satellites is above the 7m threshold for some satellites.

The daily constellation RMS URE results in the period October to December 2023 and the 3.6m threshold are shown in the next figure. Note that \leq 7 m 95% SPS SIS URE performance standard is equivalent to a \leq 3.6 m RMS SPS SIS URE performance standard [RD.1]. This is also important for the position service availability assessment.



Figure 3-2: Constellation RMS URE for Reporting Period

It can be seen that the RMS values are below the threshold (3.6 metres) on most days but there is one day where the error is larger.

As well as the 95% and rms URE statistics, additional URE statistics are computed, including mean, 1sigma and maximum values. Although not strictly required for the performance specification, these values can be useful for anomaly investigation. The range error statistics (in metres) for the period October to December 2023 are given in the table below.



GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23	Code:
23/01/24	Date:
1.0	Version:
17 of 32	Page:

PRN	Range Error (mean)	Range Error (RMS)	1-sigma	Range Error (95%)	Range Error (max)	Number of Samples
1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2	0.93	1.98	1.75	3.19	16.66	2468712
3	-0.19	1.90	1.90	3.59	11.52	2600698
4	-0.67	1.59	1.45	3.35	8.32	2801196
5	0.15	1.89	1.89	3.56	9.52	2744085
6	-0.31	1.72	1.70	3.38	10.35	2786452
7	-0.50	1.67	1.59	3.38	8.53	2907058
8	0.32	2.27	2.25	4.19	13.18	2513242
9	-0.19	1.63	1.62	3.24	13.27	2655855
10	0.61	2.04	1.95	4.26	9.61	2932641
11	-0.27	1.82	1.80	3.57	9.01	2839479
12	1.96	3.49	2.89	7.29	12.90	2582863
13	0.52	2.15	2.09	4.19	9.92	2380901
14	-0.19	1.60	1.60	3.27	17.86	2901771
15	0.82	2.55	2.41	5.06	11.23	2466644
16	0.80	1.90	1.72	3.51	7.47	2615351
17	-0.11	1.67	1.67	3.16	13.10	2908723
18	0.31	1.91	1.89	3.71	8.20	2861749
19	0.96	1.79	1.52	3.44	7.91	2859023
20	0.69	2.08	1.96	3.95	8.95	2664011
21	1.51	2.24	1.66	3.52	16.19	2442104
22	0.40	1.72	1.67	3.43	14.51	2936734
23	0.51	2.09	2.03	4.13	12.12	2921757
24	2.40	3.32	2.29	6.42	11.81	2103774
25	3.02	4.17	2.88	8.38	13.99	2299578
26	1.19	2.26	1.93	4.60	8.61	2477373
27	0.65	1.76	1.64	3.32	10.24	2314949
28	1.03	2.80	2.60	5.86	10.23	2733829
29	1.23	2.96	2.70	6.70	11.30	2738701
30	0.04	1.76	1.76	3.33	8.56	2764343
31	0.82	2.52	2.38	5.33	10.47	2784990
32	1.29	2.83	2.52	6.38	12.66	2904939
All	0.60	2.01	1.91	4.52	17.86	82913525

Table 3-2: Range Error Statistics for Reporting Period

For all these results it looks as if there are failures in the SIS accuracy. However, we are currently in an active part of the solar cycle and so ionospheric errors can be larger, which may affect these results. Therefore to determine if the SIS errors we have seen here are due to true SIS errors or are residual ionospheric errors (which would not count as a failure of the SIS accuracy), we have also processed the data in dual-frequency L1/L2 combination. The URE accuracy for each satellite across the period and the daily rms URE values – based on dual frequency L1/L2 results – are shown below.

	Code:	GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23
	Date:	23/01/24
UIN .	Version:	1.0
INNOVATING SOLUTIONS	Page:	18 of 32



Figure 3-3: Constellation URE (95%) for Reporting Period (dual-frequency measurements)



Figure 3-4: Constellation RMS URE for Reporting Period (dual frequency measurements)

It can be seen that using dual-frequency measurements to remove residual ionospheric errors gives much smaller URE values - per satellite and daily for all satellites. This demonstrates that the apparently poor measured SIS accuracy is due to residual ionospheric delay errors, which should be discounted from the results according to the specification, and so in actual fact the SIS accuracy for any satellite is below the threshold values throughout the monitoring period for all satellites.

3.3. SPS SIS INTEGRITY

In addition to the specifications in Table 2-1, the Conditions and Constraints for SPS SIS Integrity performance [RD.1] are:



For any healthy SPS SIS;

- SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance defined to be ±4.42 times the upper bound on the URA value corresponding to the URA index "N" currently broadcast by the satellite;
- Given that the maximum SPS SIS instantaneous URE did not exceed the NTE tolerance at the start of the hour;
- Worst case for delayed alert is 6 hours;
- Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors.

Based on the requirement of 1×10^{-5} /hr probability for misleading information, 92-day period and a 31-satellite constellation, the maximum number of events expected is 0.66.

On every epoch throughout the monitoring period, the instantaneous measured URE for each satellite has been compared against a threshold of 4.42 times the upper value of the URA index. The number of URE values above the threshold has been recorded and is checked against the expected number.

From the analysis there are 16 different days where this condition is met – sometimes for long periods - which could indicate a failure. However, as seen in section 3.2, there are high ionospheric residual errors in this period which may be causing the apparent SIS errors. Therefore the analysis has also been performed on the dual-frequency solutions and many of the errors disappear and the remaining ones appear to be local multipath or tracking errors. This is shown in the following table.

date	Doy	Apparent SF SIS errors	Apparent DF SIS errors	Comments
05/11/2023	309	518	4	Single Frequency (SF) errors affect two satellites, but all removed through dual frequency (DF). Apparent DF errors occur on epochs where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
10/11/2023	314	1	1	SF error appears to be poor tracking on a single satellite – no DF error seen because L2 measurements not available for affected satellite on that epoch. Apparent DF error occurs on epoch where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
23/11/2023	327	243	1	Single Frequency (SF) errors affect single satellite, but all removed through dual frequency (DF). Apparent DF error occurs on epoch where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
24/11/2023	328	15608	66	Single Frequency (SF) errors affect multiple satellites, but all removed through dual frequency (DF). Apparent DF errors occur on epochs where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.

Table 3-3: Summary of Apparent SIS Errors



1.0

date	Doy	Apparent SF SIS errors	Apparent DF SIS errors	Comments
25/11/2023	329	6892	1	Single Frequency (SF) errors affect multiple satellites, but all removed through dual frequency (DF). Apparent DF error occurs on epoch where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
27/11/2023	331	1257	0	Single Frequency (SF) errors all removed through Dual frequency (DF).
28/11/2023	332	671	11	SF error appears to be poor tracking on a single satellite – no DF error seen because L2 measurements not available for affected satellite on that epoch. Apparent DF errors occur on epochs where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
01/12/2023	335	8679	1	Single Frequency (SF) errors affect multiple satellites, but all removed through dual frequency (DF). Apparent DF error occurs on epoch where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
06/12/2023	340	1	2	Single Frequency (SF) error appears to be poor tracking on a single satellite – no DF error seen because L2 measurements not available for affected satellite on that epoch. Apparent DF errors occur on epochs where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
08/12/2023	342	3170	0	Single Frequency (SF) errors all removed through Dual frequency (DF).
11/12/2023	345	1	1	SF and DF apparent errors are on same satellite at same time. However, this is in a period where tracking of that satellite seems difficult – it is at low elevation and is dropping in and out of observations – so appears to be local issue.
14/12/2023	348	448	0	Single Frequency (SF) errors all removed through Dual frequency (DF).
19/12/2023	353	2	2	SF and DF apparent errors are on same satellite at same time. However, this is in a period where tracking of that satellite seems difficult – it is at low elevation and is dropping in and out of observations – so appears to be local issue.
20/12/2023	354	1	2	Single Frequency (SF) error appears to be poor tracking on a single satellite – no DF error seen because L2 measurements not available for affected satellite on that epoch. Apparent DF errors occur on epochs where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.



date	Doy	Apparent SF SIS errors	Apparent DF SIS errors	Comments
21/12/2023	355	1842	2	SF error appears to be poor tracking on a single satellite – no DF error seen because L2 measurements not available for affected satellite on that epoch. Apparent DF errors occur on epochs where there are difficulties tracking the affected satellite and SF residuals are slightly raised, and therefore appear to be local issues.
29/12/2023	363	1	0	Single Frequency (SF) error appears to be poor tracking on a single satellite – no DF error seen because L2 measurements not available for affected satellite on that epoch.

Therefore there do not appear to be any true SIS integrity failures due to satellite signal in space faults and so this meets the requirement.

3.4. SPS SIS CONTINUITY

In addition to the specifications in Table 2-1, the Conditions and Constraints for SPS SIS Continuity performance [RD.1] are:

- Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually;
- Given that the SPS SIS is available from the slot at the start of the hour.

During this reporting period there was 1 unscheduled event² affecting the baseline constellation, lasting for a total of 36.88 hrs. Therefore this gives a continuity figure of 99.93% in this period, which does not meet the requirement of 99.98% in this period.

3.5. SPS SIS AVAILABILITY

In addition to the specifications in Table 2-1, the Conditions and Constraints for SPS SIS Availability performance [RD.1] are:

- Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually;
- Applies to satellites broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS which also satisfy the other performance standards in this SPS Performance Standard.

The total period (in this monitoring period) in which satellites from the baseline 24-satellite constellation broadcast an unhealthy SIS was 69.98 hours³. This is equivalent to an average of 0.9987 over all slots in the 24-slot constellation and satisfies SPS SIS Per-slot Availability standard (\geq 0.957).

The minimum number of the baseline constellation satellites broadcasting healthy SPS SIS was 23, greater than the specifications of 20 and 21. Hence, performance during the monitoring period was measured at the 100% level, satisfying the Performance Standard as specified below.

² Note that the unscheduled event was still ongoing at the end of the year and so the outage period for this quarter is computed from the start of the unscheduled event until the end of the year.

 $^{^3}$ These figures are computed assuming that since SVN63 / PRN1 was decommissioned, it no longer formed part of the baseline constellation.



GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23	Code:
23/01/24	Date:
1.0	Version:
22 of 32	Page:

- ≥0.98 Probability that at least 21 Slots out of the 24 Slots will be Occupied Either by a Satellite Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Baseline 24-Slot Configuration or by a Pair of Satellites Each Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Expanded Slot Configuration;
- ≥ 0.99999 Probability that at least 20 Slots out of the 24 Slots will be occupied either by a Satellite Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Baseline 24-Slot Configuration or by a Pair of Satellites Each Broadcasting a Healthy SPS SIS in the Expanded Slot Configuration.

The minimum number of operational satellites broadcasting healthy messages in this reporting period was 30. This represents performance at the 100% level, satisfying the Performance Standard as specified below.

■ ≥ 0.95 Probability that the Constellation has at least 24 operational satellites regardless of whether the operational satellites are located in the baseline slots.

3.6. PDOP AVAILABILITY

In addition to the specifications in Table 2-1, the Conditions and Constraints for PDOP performance [RD.1] are:

Defined for position solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval;

Based on using only satellites transmitting standard code and indicating "healthy" in the broadcast navigation message.

The following plot shows the daily PDOP availability (PDOP < 6) calculated at the site for all healthy satellites above 5 degrees elevation during the period October to December 2023.



Figure 3-5: Daily PDOP Availability in the Reporting Period

It can be seen that the daily PDOP availability values are all above the thresholds of 98% (global average) and 88% (worst site). Therefore, the PDOP availability fulfils the requirements.

In addition, the daily mean and maximum PDOP values are displayed for the same period.



23/01/24

23 of 32

1.0



Figure 3-6: Daily Maximum PDOP Value in the Reporting Period

The daily PDOP values PDOP can be used to identify specific days that have different performance from the others. It can be seen that on all days the maximum value is much less than 6.

3.7. POSITION SERVICE AVAILABILITY

In addition to the specifications in Table 2-1, the Conditions and Constraints for Service Availability performance [RD.1] are:

- 15 meters horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold;
- 33 meters vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold;
- Defined for position solution meeting representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval;
- Based on using only satellites transmitting standard code and indicating "healthy" in the broadcast navigation message.

The computation of these values is detailed in section 2.3.

The daily horizontal and vertical service availabilities for the period October to December 2023 are shown in the following figures.



Figure 3-7: Daily Horizontal Service Availability Values for Reporting Period



Figure 3-8: Daily Vertical Service Availability Values for Reporting Period

These plots show the horizontal and vertical availability are well above the thresholds of 99% (global average) and 90% (worst site) for the reporting period. Therefore, the position service availability fulfils the requirements.

3.8. POSITIONING ACCURACY

In addition to the specifications in Table 2-1, the Conditions and Constraints for Positioning Accuracy performance [RD.1] are:

- Defined for position solution meeting the representative user conditions;
- Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points within the service volume.



Code:	GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23
Date:	23/01/24
Version:	1.0
Page:	25 of 32

For this monitoring activity it should be noted that the position accuracy is assessed through analysis of real data at a single point, rather than through service volume analysis.

The daily horizontal and vertical accuracy values (95%) for the period October to December 2023 are shown in the following figures.



Figure 3-9: Daily Horizontal Position Accuracy (95%) for Reporting Period

It can be seen that the daily horizontal accuracy values are all below the threshold of 15m (worst site) and almost always well below of the thresholds of 8m (global average). The fact that one day has value larger than the global average threshold is slightly unusual but not necessarily a failure.

Also, the daily vertical accuracy values are below the thresholds of 13m (global average) and 33m (worst site). Again, there are some days where the performance is close to the threshold for global average.



Figure 3-10: Daily Vertical Position Accuracy (95%) for Reporting Period



In addition, the daily position accuracy values at the 99.99% level are shown for the same period.



Figure 3-11: Daily Horizontal Position Accuracy (99.99%) for Reporting Period



Figure 3-12: Daily Vertical Position Accuracy (99.99%) for Reporting Period

It can be seen that the 99.99% values generally follow the same pattern as the 95% values and are not significantly larger.



GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23	Code:
23/01/24	Date:
1.0	Version:
27 of 32	Page:

4. NANU ANALYSIS

(<u>http://www.navcen.uscg.gov/?pageName=gpsNanuInfo</u>). Summaries of the forecast and actual outages for scheduled and unscheduled events are given below. NANUs that affect a whole slot in the baseline constellation are highlighted in green. NANUs that affect one satellite of an expanded slot in the baseline constellation are highlighted in blue, tan, orange, red or purple.

NANU	PRN	Туре	Start day	Start Time	Stop day	Stop time	Outage (hours)	Ref
2023057	22	FCSTDV	279	400	279	1600	12	-
2023058	21	FCSTDV	286	615	286	1815	12	D2F
2023061	22	FCSTDV	292	1545	293	345	12	-
2023063	15	FCSTDV	306	2100	307	900	12	F2A
2023065	2	FCSTDV	332	1030	333	1030	24	D5
2023066	28	FCSTDV	334	1300	335	100	12	A2F
2023069	8	FCSTDV	341	1930	342	730	12	C3
2023070	26	FCSTDV	348	1700	349	500	12	B1F
2023073	6	FCSTDV	355	1115	355	2315	12	D4

Table 4-1: Summary of Forecast Scheduled Outages

Table 4-2: Summary of Actual Scheduled Outages

NANU	PRN	Туре	Start day	Start Time	Stop day	Stop time	Outage (hours)	Ref
2023060	21	FCSTSUMM	286	646	286	1147	5.0167	2023058
2023062	22	FCSTSUMM	292	1559	292	2125	5.4333	2023061
2023064	15	FCSTSUMM	306	2124	307	316	5.8667	2023063
2023067	2	FCSTSUMM	332	1052	332	1619	5.4500	2023065
2023068	28	FCSTSUMM	334	1319	334	1947	6.466666667	2023066
2023071	8	FCSTSUMM	341	2010	342	218	6.133333333	2023069
2023072	26	FCSTSUMM	348	1723	348	2154	4.516666667	2023070
2023074	6	FCSTSUMM	355	1123	355	1629	5.1	2023073

Table 4-3: Summary of Cancelled Outages

NANU	PRN	Туре	Start day	Start Time	Stop day	Stop time	Ref
2023059	22	FCSTCANC	279	450	NA	NA	2023057

Table 4-4: Summary of Forecast and Actual Unscheduled Outages

NANU	PRN	Туре	Start day	Start Time	Stop day	Stop time	Outage (hours)	Ref
2023076	27	UNUSUFN	364	1107	NA	NA	NA	C2



GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23	Code:
23/01/24	Date:
1.0	Version:
28 of 32	Page:

The constellation availability and continuity figures for the baseline constellation, and for all satellites, based on the NANU information are shown in the following table. Note that for continuity and availability, the baseline constellation is affected if at least one of the satellites in an expanded slot is healthy, i.e. an outage on one of the satellites in an expanded slot still affects the statistics for the baseline constellation.

	Q4 2023
Hrs	2208
total forecast downtime (all)	120.00
total forecast downtime (baseline)	72.00
total actual scheduled downtime (all)	43.98
total actual scheduled downtime (baseline)	33.10
Scheduled satellite outage events (all)	8
Scheduled satellite outage events (baseline)	6
Unscheduled satellite outage events (all)	1
Unscheduled satellite outage events (baseline)	1
Total actual unscheduled downtime (all)	36.88
Total actual unscheduled downtime (baseline)	36.88
Total actual downtime (all)	80.87
Total actual downtime (baseline)	69.98
Availability (all)	99.882
Availability (baseline)	99.868
Continuity (baseline)	99.930

Table 4-5: Summary of NANU Statistics for Monitoring Period



 Code:
 GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23

 Date:
 23/01/24

 Version:
 1.0

 Page:
 29 of 32

5. CONCLUSIONS

The following table summarises the measured performance against the specification.

Criteria	Specifications	Measured Performance	Passed
SPS SIS Accuracy	The User Range Error (URE) ≤7 m 95% for any satellite	All SV < 7m	Yes It is noted that high ionospheric residuals affect the nominal results, but dual- frequency processing shows the URE is ok
	The User Range Error (URE) $\leq 2 \text{ m}$ 95% for all satellites	4.5m	No. Although likely due to ionospheric residuals
SPS SIS rms	≤3.6 m	All days <3.6m	Yes It is noted that high ionospheric residuals affect the nominal results, but dual- frequency processing shows the daily rms URE is ok.
SPS SIS Integrity	The SIS Integrity $\leq 1 \times 10^{-5}$ Probability Over Any Hour (<0.7 events per quarter)	No events	Yes Any apparent failures seem to be due to high ionospheric residuals or local errors.
SPS SIS Continuity	≥ 0.9998 Probability Over Any Hour	99.93% (1 outage on baseline)	No

Table 5-1: Summary	of	Performance
--------------------	----	-------------



Criteria	Specifications	Measured Performance	Passed
SPS SIS Availability	 SPS SIS Per-Slot Availability ≥ 0.957 SPS SIS Constellation Availability ≥ 0.98 Probability that at least 21 Slots out of the 24 Slots will be healthy ≥ 0.99999 Probability that at least 20 Slots out of the 24 Slots will be healthy ≥ 0.95 Probability that the Constellation will have at least 24 Operational Satellites 	 97.5% per- Slot Availability 100% Constellation Availability 100% probability that the number of operational satellites is larger than 24. 	Yes
PDOP Availability	 ≥ 98% global PDOP of 6 or less ≥ 88% worst site PDOP of 6 or less 	>99.8% availability on all days	Yes
SPS Position Service Availability	 ≥ 99% Horizontal Service Availability average location ≥ 90% Horizontal Service Availability worst-case location ≥ 99% Vertical Service Availability average location ≥ 90% Vertical Service Availability worst-case location 	100% availability on all days	Yes
Positioning Accuracy	 ≤ 8 meters 95% All-in-View Global Average Horizontal Error (SIS Only) ≤ 15 meters 95% All-in- View worst site Horizontal Error (SIS Only) ≤ 13 meters 95% All-in- View Global Average Vertical Error (SIS Only) ≤ 33 meters 95% All-in- View worst site Vertical Error (SIS Only) 	1) <9 metres 95% Horizontal Error at the site 2) <13 metres 95% Vertical Error at the site	Yes One day with 95% horizontal error worse than global average threshold but still much less than worst site value.



 Code:
 GMV_IAA-GPS-SPS-Q4-23

 Date:
 23/01/24

 Version:
 1.0

 Page:
 31 of 32

From the table it can be seen that the measured performance is within the required values for almost all requirements. The exception is the SIS accuracy for all SVs, which is larger than the requirement but is likely due to the computation method including ionospheric residual errors, and the SIS continuity, which fails due to an unplanned outage in this quarter.



1.0

END OF DOCUMENT

Code:

Date:

Page:

Version: